

REMARKS

Objection to Drawings Under 35 USC §112

The Applicant respectfully traverses the objections to the drawings. Drawings must be furnished “where *necessary for the understanding of the subject matter* sought to be patented.” The objected features are readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. Additionally, the Applicant is obtaining substitute drawings to address the numbering issues which the Examiner identifies.

Rejections Under 35 USC §102 and §103

The claims have been amended to more clearly state the invention. None of the art, alone or in combination teach, show, or suggest a single support member that can be mounted to extend away from the stroller. Even if such modifications were forced on the references, their functionality would be destroyed.

Should the Examiner disagree that the remarks place the application in condition for allowance, then the Examiner is respectfully requested to prepare an acceptable proposed set of claims pursuant to MPEP 707.07 (j).

Rejections Under 35 USC §112

The Applicant does not understand the rejection to claim 20 under 35 USC 112. The examiner is requested to more clearly state the grounds of rejection or either to allow the claim.

Conclusion

Thus, it is believed that the pending claims are allowable, and allowance of said claims is respectfully requested. Other references made of record but not relied upon in the Office Action are considered no more relevant to the invention than the reference relied upon by the Examiner.